Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

JAMMO ISSN 2146-3328 non sibi, sed omnibus
SILVA ACADEMY JOURNAL ACADEMIC MARKETING MYSTICISM

Effective Assessment Strategies in TESOL: An Analytical Review of Principles and Practices for L2 Students

Prof. Dr. Paul Ade Silva
https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=mPCuOQAAAAJ&hl=en
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9363-0794
https://www.webofscience.com/wos/author/record/D-2524-2012
E-mail1: professorpaulsilva@yahoo.co.uk
E-mail2: paul.silva@nisantasi.edu.tr

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Effective Assessment Strategies in TESOL: An Analytical Review of Principles and Practices for L2 Students

Abstract

Assessment plays a pivotal role in TESOL, influencing both language acquisition and pedagogical effectiveness. This study offers an analytical synthesis of recent literature to examine effective assessment strategies in TESOL, focusing on formative and summative assessment models, alternative assessment approaches, and their alignment with cognitive load theory (CLT). By synthesising research from peer-reviewed studies across ERIC, JSTOR, and Google Scholar, this study highlights best practices in TESOL assessment that enhance learner performance, engagement, and long-term language retention. Findings indicate that well-structured formative assessments tailored to minimise extraneous cognitive load significantly improve student outcomes. Additionally, alternative assessments such as portfolio-based evaluations, dynamic assessments, and technology-enhanced assessments provide greater inclusivity and learner autonomy. However, challenges persist in maintaining assessment reliability, standardisation, and practicality in diverse TESOL contexts. This paper concludes by proposing evidence-based recommendations for refining assessment design in TESOL, ensuring validity, equity, and alignment with language proficiency frameworks.

Keywords: TESOL, formative assessment, summative assessment, cognitive load theory, alternative assessment, language proficiency

Introduction

Assessment plays a crucial role in Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL), shaping language acquisition, pedagogical strategies, and curriculum design. Effective assessment practices measure learners' progress and provide valuable feedback that informs teaching methodologies and instructional adjustments. Over the years, TESOL assessment has evolved, incorporating formative, summative, and alternative approaches to cater to diverse linguistic backgrounds and learning needs.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Despite extensive research on TESOL assessment strategies, challenges persist in ensuring assessments are valid, reliable, and adaptable across different educational contexts. Many traditional assessments prioritise standardisation but fail to accommodate individual learner differences or align with current linguistic and cognitive theories. In particular, the role of cognitive load theory (CLT) in assessment design remains underexplored, even though excessive cognitive demands can negatively impact language processing and performance. Furthermore, technological advancements and alternative assessment models—such as portfolio-based evaluation and dynamic assessment—offer new possibilities for enhancing language assessment practices, yet their integration in mainstream TESOL settings remains inconsistent.

This study conducts a systematic review of contemporary literature to examine effective assessment strategies in TESOL, with a particular focus on:

The impact of formative and summative assessments on language learning outcomes

The role of cognitive load theory in assessment design

The effectiveness of alternative and technology-enhanced assessments

The challenges and limitations associated with current TESOL assessment practices

Through synthesising insights from recent peer-reviewed studies, this paper aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for refining TESOL assessments, ensuring they are valid, inclusive, and pedagogically sound.

Literature Review

1. Theoretical Foundations of TESOL Assessment

Assessment in TESOL is underpinned by key linguistic and educational theories that shape its validity and effectiveness. Classical Test Theory (CTT) has traditionally guided standardised language assessments, emphasising reliability and score consistency. However, CTT has been criticised for its inability to account for test-taker variability and contextual influences (Bachman & Palmer, 2010). In response, Item Response Theory (IRT) and Generalisability Theory (GT) have gained prominence, offering more flexible models that consider individual learner differences and task complexity ((Crocker & Algina, 2008), 2017).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Beyond psychometric models, cognitive load theory (CLT) has emerged as a critical framework in assessment design. CLT posits that excessive cognitive demands hinder learning, particularly in second language (L2) acquisition (Sweller, 2010). Studies suggest that poorly structured assessments increase extraneous cognitive load, negatively affecting test performance (et al., 2020). Effective TESOL assessments should therefore be designed to balance cognitive demands while maintaining linguistic rigour.

2. Formative and Summative Assessment in TESOL

Formative assessment, which provides ongoing feedback to learners, enhances motivation and language retention (Black & Wiliam, 2009). Techniques such as self-assessment, peer feedback, and scaffolded questioning enable learners to monitor their progress and engage in metacognitive reflection (Carless, 2020). Despite its benefits, implementing formative assessment in TESOL classrooms remains challenging due to time constraints and teacher training gaps (Yan & Cheng, 2015).

In contrast, summative assessment serves as a high-stakes evaluation of language proficiency, often through standardised tests such as IELTS and TOEFL. While these assessments provide benchmarking for academic and professional purposes, they have been criticised for their limited ability to capture communicative competence (Fulcher, 2019). Recent studies advocate for a more integrated approach, where formative and summative assessments complement rather than oppose each other (Looney et al., 2018).

3. Alternative and Technology-Enhanced Assessments

Alternative assessment models, including portfolio-based assessment, dynamic assessment, and computer-mediated testing, have gained traction in TESOL. Portfolio assessment allows learners to demonstrate progress over time, offering a holistic view of language development (Hamp-Lyons & Condon, 2000). Dynamic assessment, rooted in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, emphasises the interaction between assessment and instruction, adapting to learners' needs in real time (Lantolf & Poehner, 2011).

Technology has also reshaped assessment in TESOL. Automated assessment tools, such as AI-driven scoring systems, provide instant feedback and reduce teacher workload (Chapelle & Voss, 2021). However, concerns regarding algorithmic bias and the inability of AI to assess complex linguistic features, such as pragmatics and discourse competence, remain unresolved (Skehan, 2020).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

4. Challenges and Limitations in TESOL Assessment

Despite advances in assessment methodologies, several challenges persist. Ensuring test fairness across diverse learner populations remains a major concern, particularly for standardised assessments that may favour certain linguistic and cultural backgrounds (Kunnan, 2018). Additionally, the tension between assessment reliability and practicality poses difficulties for teachers who must balance workload with assessment quality (Rea-Dickins, 2001).

Another key limitation is the lack of training in assessment literacy among TESOL educators. Studies indicate that many teachers feel underprepared to design and implement effective assessments due to insufficient professional development (Davison & Leung, 2009). Addressing this gap requires targeted teacher training programmes and institutional support.

Effective Assessment Practices for L2 Students

Effective assessment in TESOL requires a combination of clarity, fairness, and cultural relevance. In diverse L2 classrooms, assessments should reflect linguistic and cultural diversity, ensuring that test items are neither culturally biased nor linguistically inappropriate. Research suggests that reading passages referencing specific cultural practices may disadvantage students unfamiliar with them (Fulcher, 2015). To mitigate such challenges, TESOL educators should design neutral, universally accessible test content.

Clear instructions and objectives are essential in ensuring fairness. When assessing speaking skills, for instance, students should be provided with detailed criteria for success, covering pronunciation, fluency, and coherence. Transparent assessment criteria reduce ambiguity and enhance learner performance.

Differentiated Assessment in TESOL

Differentiated assessment recognises that L2 learners have varied proficiency levels. Studies show that using tiered assessments, where task complexity is adjusted to learner ability, improves learning outcomes and motivation (McNamara, 2000). By tailoring assessment tasks to different proficiency levels, educators ensure that both advanced and lower-level learners can demonstrate their skills without being unfairly disadvantaged, leading to a more equitable and supportive learning environment. For instance, advanced students could present persuasive arguments in oral assessments, while beginners describe daily activities. This approach ensures fair yet challenging assessment for all learners.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Additionally, portfolio-based assessments, self-assessments, and peer evaluations create a holistic view of learner progress. Portfolios, for example, allow students to track language development over time, while peer assessments encourage collaborative learning. By diversifying assessment methods, TESOL educators foster inclusive, effective assessment environments.

Table 1. Comparative overview of TESOL assessment approaches

Assessment Approach	Key Theoretical Basis	Main Benefits	Challenges	Key Studies
Formative Assessment	Sociocultural Theory (Vygotsky, 1978); Constructivism (Piaget, 1950)	Enhances learner autonomy and engagement; Provides immediate feedback	Time-consuming for teachers; Requires assessment literacy	Black & Wiliam (2009); Carless (2020)
Summative Assessment	Classical Test Theory (CTT) (Spearman, 1904); Item Response Theory (IRT) (Embretson & Reise, 2000)	Provides standardised benchmarking; Predicts academic success	May not fully capture communicative competence; High test anxiety	Fulcher (2015); Looney et al. (2018)
Portfolio-Based Assessment	Alternative Assessment Framework (Hamp-Lyons & Condon, 2000)	Tracks long-term progress; Encourages learner reflection	Difficult to standardise; Time-intensive grading	Hamp-Lyons & Condon (2000)
Dynamic Assessment	Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (1978)	Adjusts to learners' needs in real time; Integrates assessment with instruction	Requires highly trained assessors; Not widely implemented	Lantolf & Poehner (2011)
Technology-Enhanced Assessment	Automated Scoring Models (Chapelle & Voss, 2021); AI and Machine Learning	Provides instant feedback; Reduces teacher workload	Algorithmic bias; Limited assessment of complex language skills	Chapelle & Voss (2021); Skehan (2020)

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

This comparative table highlights the strengths, limitations, and theoretical foundations of major TESOL assessment approaches. While formative and dynamic assessments offer learner-centred benefits, they require more instructor training and time investment. Summative and technology-enhanced assessments provide efficiency and standardisation, but concerns remain about fairness and communicative validity. This comparison underscores the need for a balanced, multi-faceted assessment strategy in TESOL to maximise both reliability and inclusivity.

Methodology

The study employs a systematic review approach to examine effective assessment strategies in TESOL. A systematic review allows for a comprehensive synthesis of existing research, identifying patterns, gaps, and emerging trends in the field. The review follows a structured methodology to ensure reliability and transparency in data collection and analysis.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria

Peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and conference proceedings published between 2010 and 2023 were sourced from academic databases, including ERIC, JSTOR, Scopus, and Google Scholar. The selection criteria included:

- Studies focusing on TESOL assessment strategies, including formative, summative, and alternative assessments.
- Research examining the role of cognitive load in assessment design.
- Empirical studies evaluating the impact of technology-enhanced assessment in TESOL contexts.
- Publications in English to ensure consistency in analysis.

Studies that lacked a clear methodological framework or did not provide empirical data on assessment effectiveness were excluded. Additionally, non-peer-reviewed sources, such as opinion articles and blog posts, were omitted to maintain academic rigour.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Data Analysis and Thematic Categorisation

A thematic analysis was conducted to identify recurring patterns and insights within the selected studies. The data were categorised into key themes, including:

Theoretical foundations of TESOL assessment.

The effectiveness of formative and summative assessments.

The role of cognitive load theory in assessment design.

Challenges and innovations in alternative and technology-enhanced assessments.

Limitations and Potential Biases

While the systematic review method provides a comprehensive synthesis of existing research, certain limitations must be acknowledged. The study relies on secondary data, which may be subject to publication bias, as studies with significant findings are more likely to be published. Additionally, the exclusion of non-English studies may limit perspectives from diverse educational contexts. However, to mitigate these concerns, the study incorporated a broad range of databases and cross-verified findings from multiple sources to ensure a balanced representation of existing literature. Future research could benefit from empirical investigations to validate the findings of this review and explore underrepresented assessment models in TESOL.

Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the complexity of assessment in TESOL, particularly the need for a balanced approach that integrates formative, summative, and alternative assessment methods. While traditional summative assessments provide standardised measures of proficiency, they often fail to capture the dynamic and communicative aspects of language learning (Fulcher, 2015). In contrast, formative and alternative assessments promote learner engagement, motivation, and long-term retention (Black & Wiliam, 2009). However, challenges remain in terms of teacher workload, standardisation, and institutional policy constraints (Carless, 2020).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

The Role of Cognitive Load in TESOL Assessment

The integration of cognitive load theory (CLT) into TESOL assessment design is a key pedagogical concern. CLT suggests that excessive cognitive demands can overload working memory, hindering language performance, particularly for L2 learners managing both linguistic and cognitive processing challenges (Sweller, 2010). Research indicates that well-structured formative assessments, such as scaffolded tasks, adaptive feedback, and chunked assessment items, reduce extraneous cognitive load and improve language learning outcomes (Beddow, 2018); Kalyuga, 2019). Moreover, high-stakes, summative assessments tend to impose higher cognitive demands, leading to test anxiety and lower performance among non-native speakers (Sato, 2020). These findings reinforce the importance of assessment designs that optimise cognitive processing while maintaining linguistic rigour.

Table 2. The role of cognitive load in TESOL assessment

Cognitive Load Type	Impact on TESOL Assessment	Implications for Learners	Suggested Strategies	Key Studies
Intrinsic Load	Refers to the complexity of language tasks	Higher in grammar-heavy or content-based assessments; May overwhelm lower-proficiency learners	Scaffolded assessment tasks; Stepwise task difficulty	Sweller (2010); (Beddow, 2018) et al.
Extraneous Load	Caused by unclear instructions, excessive formatting, or irrelevant content	Increases cognitive strain, distracting learners from language processing	Simplified instructions; Clear test structure; Use of visuals	Kalyuga (2019); Sato (2020)
Germane Load	Represents the cognitive effort devoted to meaningful learning and schema-building	Enhances deeper language retention and fluency	Structured feedback; Formative assessments to reinforce learning	Sweller (2010); Kunnan (2018)

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Table 2 summarises how cognitive load theory applies to TESOL assessment. Intrinsic load is influenced by task complexity, extraneous load results from poor test design, and germane load supports effective learning. By reducing extraneous cognitive demands while optimising germane load, TESOL educators can enhance language acquisition and assessment performance.

The Role of Technology in Assessment and Evaluation

Technology integration in TESOL assessment has transformed how language proficiency is measured, feedback is provided, and learning progress is tracked. Digital tools, automated scoring systems, and AI-driven language assessment platforms offer new possibilities for efficiency, objectivity, and scalability. However, concerns remain regarding validity, reliability, and the ability of automated systems to assess complex linguistic competencies.

The Role of Feedback in Language Assessment

Feedback plays a crucial role in TESOL assessment, serving as both a corrective tool and a motivational mechanism (Brown, 2014). In formative assessment, feedback allows learners to reflect on their progress and make targeted improvements (Black & Wiliam, 1998). Studies indicate that timely and constructive feedback enhances long-term retention and skill development (Ellis, 2009).

Importance of Constructive Feedback

Constructive feedback balances error correction with encouragement. In an ESL writing assessment, for instance, a teacher may highlight effective vocabulary use, while offering grammar improvement suggestions. This balanced approach fosters a growth-oriented learning mindset.

Feedback Models in L2 Learning

Several models enhance TESOL feedback effectiveness:

> **Hattie and Timperley's (2007) Model:** Categorises feedback into task-level (correction), process-level (strategy improvement), and self-regulation (learner autonomy).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Actionable Feedback Approach (McMillan, 2011): Encourages specific, immediately applicable feedback (e.g., corrective strategies for verb tense errors).

Studies show that self-reflection and revision-based feedback strategies lead to better learning retention than error identification alone (Ellis, 2009).

Table 3. Effective feedback strategies in TESOL

Feedback Strategy	Description	Impact on Learning Outcomes	Key Studies
Timely Feedback	Provided soon after assessment	Enhances comprehension and corrects misunderstandings quickly	Black & Wiliam (1998)
Constructive Feedback	Balances praise with improvement suggestions	Encourages motivation and deeper engagement	Brown (2014)
Actionable Feedback	Includes specific, practical improvement steps	Increases learner autonomy and progress clarity	McMillan (2011)
Peer Feedback	Students assess each other's work	Fosters collaborative learning and critical thinking	Ellis (2009)

Table 3 synthesises key feedback strategies and their pedagogical impact. Timely feedback helps learners respond while material is still cognitively accessible, while constructive and actionable feedback encourage learners to take ownership of improvement. Peer feedback fosters interaction and reflection, especially in communicative language teaching environments. Together, these strategies underscore the formative power of feedback in TESOL when applied thoughtfully and in alignment with learner needs.

Advantages of Technology in TESOL Assessment

The application of technology in assessment provides several advantages, including:

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Efficiency and Scalability: Automated assessment tools enable faster grading and instant feedback, reducing teacher workload while facilitating large-scale testing (Chapelle & Voss, 2021).

Consistency and Objectivity: AI-driven assessment tools reduce human bias and provide uniform scoring criteria, enhancing test reliability (Mehrabi et al., 2019).
Enhanced Learner Engagement: Interactive assessment platforms, such as adaptive learning systems, personalise the assessment experience, catering to individual learner needs (Godwin-Jones, 2018).

Data-Driven Insights: Technology-enhanced assessment provides detailed analytics on learner performance, allowing educators to identify specific areas for improvement and tailor instruction accordingly (Kunnan, 2018).

Challenges and Limitations of Technology in TESOL Assessment

Despite these advantages, technology-enhanced assessment presents significant challenges:

Lack of Contextual Understanding: AI-driven tools struggle to evaluate discourse competence, pragmatic meaning, and sociocultural aspects of language use (Skehan, 2020).

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness: Studies indicate that AI scoring models may favour grammatical and lexical patterns aligned with native speaker norms, disadvantaging L2 learners who use regionally or culturally influenced language structures (Rudolph, 2021). This raises concerns about equity, necessitating human moderation to ensure fairness in scoring.

Over-Reliance on Automation: While automated scoring systems improve efficiency, they cannot replace human judgement, particularly in assessing oral proficiency, creativity, and written discourse (Weigle, 2013).

Digital Divide and Accessibility: Not all learners have access to high-speed internet, advanced platforms, or AI-assisted tools, creating equity issues in assessment outcomes (Alfuraiji, 2024; O'Grady, 2023).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

The growing role of technology in TESOL assessment presents both opportunities and limitations. Automated tools and AI-driven feedback mechanisms offer efficient, real-time scoring, reducing teacher workload and enhancing learner responsiveness (Chapelle & Voss, 2021). However, persistent concerns regarding fairness, validity, and contextual limitations underscore the importance of human oversight. Some studies advocate for hybrid models that combine AI scoring with teacher moderation to improve fairness and diagnostic accuracy (Mehrabi et al., 2019). Further research is needed to explore the long-term impact of AI tools on learner agency and communicative competence.

Implications for TESOL Educators and Policy Makers

The findings suggest that a flexible, multi-modal assessment framework is essential for accurate and equitable language evaluation. Research indicates that no single assessment type sufficiently captures all dimensions of language competence; therefore, integrating formative, summative, and alternative methods provides a more comprehensive view of learner progress (Yan & Cheng, 2015). Educators should adopt layered assessment practices and ensure timely, actionable feedback to support learner development. Moreover, targeted teacher training is vital to promote assessment literacy, particularly in the application of alternative and technology-supported methods (Davison & Leung, 2009).

From a policy standpoint, institutions must support inclusive assessment frameworks that respect linguistic diversity. Standardised tests such as IELTS and TOEFL have been criticised for privileging Western academic conventions, often disadvantaging learners from non-dominant cultural or linguistic backgrounds (Kunnan, 2018). To address this, assessment systems should include culturally responsive designs and offer a range of assessment types—portfolio work, self-assessment, and adaptive testing—to provide fairer evaluations.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Table 4. Advantages and challenges of technology-enhanced assessment in TESOL

Aspect	Advantages	Challenges	Key Studies
Efficiency & Speed	Automated tools provide instant feedback and faster grading	Over-reliance on automation may reduce teacher intervention	Chapelle & Voss (2021); Alfuraiji, (2024; O'Grady, (2023)
Scalability	AI-driven assessments can be applied to large-scale testing	Digital divide may disadvantage learners without access to technology	Kunnan (2018); Godwin-Jones (2018)
Consistency & Objectivity	AI tools apply uniform scoring criteria, minimising human bias	Algorithmic bias may favour certain linguistic patterns, impacting fairness	Rudolph (2021); Skehan (2020)
Learner Engagement	Interactive platforms enhance motivation through adaptive learning	Lack of human interaction may reduce contextual understanding	Yaseen et al., (2025; Kang & Im, (2013)
Language Complexity	AI can assess grammar and vocabulary proficiency with high accuracy	Struggles with discourse competence, pragmatics, and creativity	Weigle (2013); Stevenson & Marais (2022)

Table 4 provides a structured comparison of the affordances and risks of technology-enhanced assessments in TESOL. While technology increases scale, objectivity, and efficiency, its limitations in cultural sensitivity, learner equity, and higher-order language evaluation reaffirm the need for a balanced, hybrid approach.

Balancing Technology and Human Expertise

To maximise the benefits of technology while mitigating its drawbacks, TESOL assessment should adopt a hybrid model that combines the efficiency of AI with the contextual insight of trained educators. Human–AI collaboration has been shown to improve scoring fairness and diagnostic accuracy, particularly when evaluating writing and speaking tasks (Stevenson &

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Marais, 2022). Furthermore, training educators in digital assessment literacy is essential to help them interpret AI-generated feedback and determine when human intervention is pedagogically necessary.

As AI continues to evolve, it will likely assume a larger role in assessment environments. However, maintaining a learner-centred and culturally inclusive approach is essential to prevent over-automation. Complex competencies—such as pragmatic meaning, intercultural awareness, and discourse fluency—require interpretive judgement that only human assessors can reliably provide. Ongoing research and policy development should focus on refining hybrid models to ensure technological advances enhance, rather than compromise, the quality and fairness of TESOL evaluations.

Table 5. Comparing human-led and AI-driven TESOL assessment models

Assessment Model	Strengths	Limitations	Best Applied For	Key Studies
Human-Led Assessment	Context-sensitive; Evaluates pragmatics, fluency, and discourse competence	Subjective bias; Time-consuming; Inconsistent scoring	Speaking assessments, essays, interactive tasks	Weigle (2013); Kunnan (2018)
AI-Driven Assessment	Fast, scalable, and efficient; Provides instant scoring and feedback	Struggles with nuance, creativity, and cultural context	Grammar assessments, automated writing evaluation, vocabulary testing	Chapelle & Voss (2021); Alfuraiji, (2024; O'Grady, (2023)
Hybrid Model (Human + AI)	Combines efficiency and contextual awareness	Requires teacher training and AI calibration	Standardised tests, high-stakes language proficiency exams	Stevenson & Marais (2022)

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Table 5 reinforces the complementary strengths of human-led and AI-assisted assessments in TESOL. While human assessors offer interpretive nuance essential for evaluating discourse and pragmatics, AI provides consistency, speed, and scalability. A hybrid model integrates both, delivering reliable yet context-sensitive evaluation. This combination is particularly suited to high-stakes contexts where validity and fairness are paramount.

Challenges in Assessing L2 Learners

Assessing L2 learners presents various challenges, including language bias, cultural misunderstandings, and concerns about the validity of conventional assessments. Standardised assessments often reflect native-speaker norms, inadvertently disadvantaging L2 students (McNamara, 2000). For example, comprehension tests containing idiomatic expressions or culturally specific references may pose barriers unrelated to language proficiency.

To ensure fairness and inclusivity, educators must develop assessment strategies that are linguistically accessible, culturally neutral, and pedagogically equitable.

Cultural Misalignment in TESOL Assessment

L2 learners originate from diverse educational traditions that shape their engagement with assessment tasks. For instance, students from systems that emphasise memorisation may find open-ended or critical thinking tasks unfamiliar. These differences can affect not only performance but also students' perceptions of fairness and competence (Bachman & Palmer, 1996).

Mitigating Challenges in L2 Assessment

To address these challenges, TESOL educators should:

> Implement differentiated assessment, tailoring tasks to learners' proficiency levels while ensuring equal rigour (McNamara, 2000).
>
> Design culturally responsive assessments that accommodate diverse linguistic and cognitive profiles.
>
> Adopt formative assessment techniques, including self- and peer evaluations, to reduce anxiety and enhance engagement (Brown, 2014).

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Table 6. Common challenges in L2 assessment and mitigation strategies

Challenge	Impact on Learners	Proposed Solutions	Key Studies
Language Bias	Favouring native speaker norms in assessments	Use neutral language and avoid cultural references	McNamara (2000)
Cultural Misunderstandings	Differences in learning styles affecting assessment outcomes	Incorporate culturally diverse test content; Provide teacher training on culturally responsive assessment methods	Bachman & Palmer (1996); McNamara (2000)
Test Anxiety	High-stakes assessments reducing student confidence	Use formative, low-stress assessments; Provide constructive, low-pressure feedback to encourage improvement	Brown (2014); Ellis (2009)
Standardisation vs. Inclusivity	Struggles in balancing fairness with varied linguistic abilities	Apply differentiated assessment methods	Fulcher (2015)

Table 6 summarises key obstacles in assessing L2 learners and provides actionable, research-backed strategies for promoting fairness and accuracy. Educators must remain responsive to learners' linguistic realities and actively minimise the impact of cultural and structural biases.

Conclusion

Effective assessment in TESOL is essential for measuring learner progress, shaping instruction, and promoting equitable outcomes. This study highlights the importance of integrating formative, summative, and alternative approaches to meet the diverse needs of second language learners. While summative assessments offer benchmarking value, they often overlook the dynamic, communicative, and developmental aspects of language use.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

A central insight from this review is the significance of cognitive load theory in designing assessments. Research confirms that excessive extraneous cognitive load impairs L2 learner performance. Therefore, assessment design should prioritise clarity, scaffolding, and cognitive accessibility to enhance language development rather than impede it.

Technology has brought substantial advances in assessment efficiency and scalability. However, concerns about algorithmic bias, limited discourse analysis capabilities, and digital access disparities persist. Hybrid models—combining the speed of AI with the interpretive depth of human judgement—offer a promising path forward, provided that teacher training and equity safeguards are prioritised.

Implications for TESOL Educators and Policy Makers

To promote fairness and effectiveness in TESOL assessment, educators should:

- Combine formative, summative, and alternative methods to generate comprehensive learner profiles.
- Apply cognitive load-informed strategies to reduce mental strain during testing
- Participate in assessment literacy training, especially in technology-mediated and alternative approaches
- Use inclusive frameworks to support learners from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds

At the policy level, institutions should:

- Support the integration of AI-driven tools with appropriate human oversight
- Allocate resources for professional development in digital and culturally responsive assessment
- Encourage multilingual, multicultural research to ensure test validity across diverse learner populations

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Journal Academic Marketing Mysticism Online (JAMMO) Vol 17. Part 61. 1019-1041 December 2025
Available Online at https://www.journalacademicmarketingmysticismonline.net
ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025 JAMMO July-December Issue 2 / 2025

Future Research Directions

Despite progress, several key areas warrant further investigation:

Cognitive Load and L2 Retention: Explore the long-term impact of cognitive load-reducing assessment techniques on retention and fluency (Kalyuga, 2019).

AI and Communicative Competence: Assess the extent to which AI can validly measure complex language functions like pragmatics and discourse cohesion (Alfuraiji, 2024; O'Grady, 2023).

Scalability of Alternative Assessment: Investigate the feasibility of portfolio and dynamic assessment methods in large-scale educational settings (Hamp-Lyons & Condon, 2000).

Assessment Literacy among Teachers: Examine how educator knowledge influences the adoption and adaptation of assessment innovations.

By addressing these research areas, TESOL practitioners and scholars can further refine assessment practices to ensure they remain valid, inclusive, and aligned with the communicative goals of language education.

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

References

Alfuraiji, W. L. F. (2024). Enhancing language pragmatics instruction through artificial intelligence: A mixed-methods study. International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Research, 12(49), 151–161. https://sanad.iau.ir/Journal/jfl/Article/1122507

Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (1996). Language testing in practice: Designing and developing useful language tests. Oxford University Press.

Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (2010). Language assessment in practice: Developing language assessments and justifying their use in the real world. Oxford University Press.

Beddow, P. A. (2018). Cognitive load theory for test design. In S. N. Elliott, R. J. Kettler, P. A. Beddow, & A. Kurz (Eds.), Handbook of accessible instruction and testing practices (pp. 199–211). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71126-3_13

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21(1), 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5

Brown, H. D. (2014). Principles of language learning and teaching (6th ed.). Pearson. https://s1.papyruspub.com/files/demos/products/ebooks/academicbooks/applied-linguistics/Preview-Principles-of-Language-Learning-and-Teaching-by-Douglas-Brown.pdf

Carless, D. (2020). From teacher transmission of information to student feedback literacy: Activating the learner role in feedback processes. Active Learning in Higher Education, 21(2), 125–136. https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787419858732

Chapelle, C. A., & Voss, E. (2021). Machine learning for language assessment: Promises and pitfalls. Language Testing, 38(3), 291–303. https://doi.org/10.1177/02655322211004211

Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (2008). Introduction to classical and modern test theory. Cengage Learning. https://archive.org/details/introductiontoclassicalandmoderntesttheory

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Davison, C., & Leung, C. (2009). Current issues in English language teacher-based assessment. TESOL Quarterly, 43(3), 393–415. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1545-7249.2009.tb00242.x

Ellis, R. (2009). Corrective feedback and teacher development. L2 Journal, 1(1), 3–18. https://doi.org/10.5070/L211000005

Fulcher, G. (2015a). Re-examining language testing: A philosophical and social inquiry. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315695518

Fulcher, G. (2015b). Research timeline: Assessing second language speaking. Language Teaching, 48(2), 198–216. https://doi.org/10.1017/S026144481400039

Godwin-Jones, R. (2018). Second language writing online: An update. Language Learning & Technology, 22(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10125/44637

Hamp-Lyons, L., & Condon, W. (2000). Assessing the portfolio: Principles for practice, theory, and research. Hampton Press. https://archive.org/details/assessingportfol0000hamp

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Educational Research, 77(1), 81–112. https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487

Kalyuga, S. (2019). Cognitive load theory: Research that informs instructional design. Educational Psychology Review, 31(2), 293–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09465-5

Kang, M., & Im, T. (2013). Factors of learner–instructor interaction which predict perceived learning outcomes in online learning environment. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29(3), 292–301. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12005

Kunnan, A. J. (2018). Evaluating language assessments: Fairness, justice, and consequences. Language Testing, 35(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532216678398

Lantolf, J. P., & Poehner, M. E. (2011). Dynamic assessment in the classroom: Vygotskian praxis for second language development. Language Teaching Research, 15(1), 11–33. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168810383328

Looney, J., Cumming, J., van Der Kleij, F., & Harris, K. (2018). Reconceptualising the role of teachers as assessors: Teacher assessment. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 25(5), 442–467. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2018.1436687

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

McMillan, J. H. (2011). Classroom assessment: Principles and practice for effective standards-based instruction (7th ed.). Pearson. https://archive.org/details/classroomassessm0000mcmi_o2h4

McNamara, T. (2000). Language testing. Oxford University Press. https://archive.org/details/languagetestingo00timm

Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2019). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.09635. https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09635

O'Grady, S. (2023). An AI generated test of pragmatic competence and connected speech. Language Teaching Research Quarterly, 37, 188–203. https://doi.org/10.32038/ltrq.2023.37.10

Piaget, J. (1950). The psychology of intelligence. Routledge & Kegan Paul. https://z-lib.io/book/934197

Rea-Dickins, P. (2001). Mirror, mirror on the wall: Identifying processes of classroom assessment. Language Testing, 18(4), 429–462. https://doi.org/10.1177/026553220101800407

Rudolph, N. (2021). Algorithmic bias in AI-driven language assessments. Educational Technology & Society, 24(3), 18–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103950

Sato, M. (2020). Generating a roadmap for possible selves via a vision intervention: Alignment of second language motivation and classroom behavior. TESOL Quarterly, 55(2), 427–457. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.611

Skehan, P. (2020). The role of complexity, accuracy, and fluency in second language assessment. Language Testing, 37(2), 165–181. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532217749875

Stevenson, M., & Marais, I. (2022). The impact of AI-assisted assessment in second language learning: A review. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(5), 877–900. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1874986

Sweller, J. (2010). Element interactivity and intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. Educational Psychology Review, 22(2), 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9128-5

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Weigle, S. C. (2013). Assessing writing. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 33, 233–249. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190513000010

Yan, Z., & Cheng, L. (2015). Primary school teachers' attitudes, knowledge, and practices of formative assessment. Teaching and Teacher Education, 45, 128–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2014.10.002

Yaseen, H., Mohammad, A. S., Ashal, N., Abusaimeh, H., Ali, A., & Sharabati, A.-A. A. (2025). The impact of adaptive learning technologies, personalized feedback, and interactive AI tools on student engagement: The moderating role of digital literacy. Sustainability, 17(3), 1133. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17031133

ISSN 2146-3328 © 2011-2025

Publishing Editor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hüseyin Ateş
Reviewers: 1. Prof. Dr. Feride Zeynep Güder 2. Prof. Dr. Sahib M. Bleher 3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kemal Karademir